TRANSFER

FOURTH EDITION

Editors Steve Edge and Dominic Robertson

ELAWREVIEWS

TRANSFER PRICING LAW REVIEW

FOURTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in July 2020 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editors Steve Edge and Dominic Robertson

ELAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

> RESEARCH LEAD Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Tommy Lawson

PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR Adam Myers

> PRODUCTION EDITOR Andrew Dawes

> > SUBEDITOR Carole McMurray

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London Meridian House, 34–35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK © 2020 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at June 2020, be advised that this is a developing area. Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

e i ubitsitei – toimburites@ibitescureit.

ISBN 978-1-83862-511-5

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ARENDT & MEDERNACH

BAKER MCKENZIE

BMR LEGAL ADVOCATES

BPV HUEGEL

CHEVEZ, RUIZ, ZAMARRIPA Y CIA, SC

CMS RUI PENA & ARNAUT

DDTC

DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK

D'EMPAIRE

HERZOG FOX & NEEMAN LAW OFFICES

HORTEN LAW FIRM

LENZ & STAEHELIN

L O BAPTISTA ADVOGADOS

MATHESON

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

ROCA JUNYENT

SCORDIS, PAPAPETROU & CO LLC

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK

STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO BISCOZZI NOBILI PIAZZA

TIBERGHIEN LAWYERS | T/A ECONOMICS

UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE

ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS

CONTENTS

PREFACE		vii
Steve Edge and	l Dominic Robertson	
Chapter 1	AUSTRIA	1
	Gerald Schachner, Kornelia Wittmann, Nicolas D Wolski and Lucas Hora	
Chapter 2	BELGIUM	14
	Ahmed El Jilali and Heleen Van Baelen	
Chapter 3	BRAZIL	25
	Marcos Ribeiro Barbosa and João Victor Guedes Santos	
Chapter 4	CYPRUS	
	Kyriacos Scordis and Costas Michail	
Chapter 5	DENMARK	47
	Martin Bay and Henrik Stig Lauritsen	
Chapter 6	GERMANY	55
	Stephan Schnorberger and Rabea Lingier	
Chapter 7	GREECE	69
	Elina Filippou, Elina Belouli and Dimitris Gialouris	
Chapter 8	INDIA	80
	Mukesh Butani	
Chapter 9	INDONESIA	93
	Romi Irawan and Yusuf Wangko Ngantung	
Chapter 10	IRELAND	104
	Joe Duffy and Catherine O'Meara	

Chapter 11	ISRAEL115
	Eyal Bar-Zvi
Chapter 12	ITALY
	Franco Pozzi, Lisa Vascellari Dal Fiol and Stefano Grossi
Chapter 13	JAPAN148
	Shigeki Minami
Chapter 14	LUXEMBOURG160
	Alain Goebel and Danny Beeton
Chapter 15	MEXICO
	Oscar Campero P San Vicente and Alejandra Castillón Contreras
Chapter 16	NETHERLANDS
	Bas de Mik and Maarten van der Weijden
Chapter 17	NIGERIA194
	Lolade Ososami, Joseph Eimunjeze and Mojisola Jawando
Chapter 18	POLAND
	Sławomir Łuczak, Magdalena Polak and Wojciech Węgrzyn
Chapter 19	PORTUGAL
	Susana Estêvão Gonçalves
Chapter 20	SPAIN
	Raúl Salas Lúcia and Pilar Vacas Barreda
Chapter 21	SWITZERLAND
	Jean-Blaise Eckert and Jenny Benoit-Gonin
Chapter 22	UNITED KINGDOM256
	Steve Edge, Dominic Robertson and Tom Gilliver
Chapter 23	UNITED STATES
	Edward Froelich and Jessica Stern
Chapter 24	VENEZUELA
	Alberto Benshimol, Humberto Romero-Muci and José Valecillos

Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	295
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS	

PREFACE

It has been a great pleasure to edit this fourth edition of *The Transfer Pricing Law Review*. This publication aims to give readers a high-level overview of the principal transfer pricing rules in each country covered in the *Review*. Each chapter summarises the country's substantive transfer pricing rules, explains how a transfer pricing dispute is handled, from initial scrutiny through to litigation or settlement, and discusses the interaction between transfer pricing and other parts of the tax code (such as withholding taxes, customs duties, and attempts to prevent double taxation).

Other than Brazil, all the countries covered in this *Review* apply an arm's-length standard and adhere, at least to some extent, to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Transfer Pricing Guidelines (the OECD Guidelines); and Brazil itself has recently launched a project to align its transfer pricing rules with the OECD norm. However, as the chapters make clear, there remains significant divergence, both in countries' interpretation of the arm's-length standard (e.g., the transactions it applies to, the pricing methods preferred and whether secondary adjustments are imposed) and in the administration of the rules (e.g., the documentation requirements imposed, and the availability of APAs). Transfer pricing practitioners, therefore, cannot simply assume that the OECD Guidelines contain all the answers but must in fact engage with their detailed application within each country.

As we have said in earlier editions of the *Review*, transfer pricing rules will be high on the corporate tax agenda for many years to come, and they are continuing to evolve at a rapid pace. Over the next year or so, we expect the following to be among the main areas of focus.

First, as in so many other areas of endeavour, the covid-19 pandemic raises new challenges for transfer pricing, and may in some cases invert the 'normal' argument between taxpayers and tax authorities. For example, will tax authorities which have previously argued that a company is not a routine service provider, and should be rewarded through a profit split, now accept that the company therefore needs to bear a share of the group's covid-19 losses? Looking further forward, the experience from the 2008 financial crisis suggests that, in the medium term, the need for tax revenues is likely to push tax authorities towards a more assertive approach in transfer pricing cases.

Second, a number of countries may see disputes over the extent to which transfer pricing can be used to recharacterise transactions, rather than merely to adjust the pricing of transactions. For example, the German courts held last year that transfer pricing rules are not limited to pricing adjustments alone; and Ireland introduced rules that enable the Irish Revenue to impose a 'substance over form' principle.

Third, the long-awaited OECD Transfer Pricing Guidance on Financial Transactions was published in February 2020. Although its immediate impact has been rather overshadowed

by the covid-19 situation, many taxpayers, and tax authorities, will need to get to grips with the potential impact of this guidance on them.

Finally, the OECD/G20 project to address the tax consequences of digitalisation continues to work towards its target of presenting an agreed solution by the end of 2020. The current Pillar One and Pillar Two proposals would, if enacted, be the most far-reaching change to transfer pricing principles in close to 100 years, and would mark a significant shift away from the arm's-length principle. The desire to shore up tax revenues in light of covid-19 may well encourage the countries that expect to be 'winners' from the proposals to push for an agreed outcome. It is worth noting, however, that the reforms will not be a silver bullet for public finances. The OECD expects the reform to increase corporate tax revenues by 4 per cent; in the UK, for example, that would raise enough money to fund the National Health Service for only one week.

We would like to thank the authors of all of the country chapters for their comprehensive and illuminating analysis of each country's transfer pricing rules; and the publishing team at Law Business Research for their diligence and enthusiasm in commissioning, coordinating and compiling this *Review*.

Steve Edge and Dominic Robertson

Slaughter and May London June 2020

PREFACE

It has been a great pleasure to edit this fourth edition of *The Transfer Pricing Law Review*. This publication aims to give readers a high-level overview of the principal transfer pricing rules in each country covered in the *Review*. Each chapter summarises the country's substantive transfer pricing rules, explains how a transfer pricing dispute is handled, from initial scrutiny through to litigation or settlement, and discusses the interaction between transfer pricing and other parts of the tax code (such as withholding taxes, customs duties, and attempts to prevent double taxation).

Other than Brazil, all the countries covered in this *Review* apply an arm's-length standard and adhere, at least to some extent, to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Transfer Pricing Guidelines (the OECD Guidelines); and Brazil itself has recently launched a project to align its transfer pricing rules with the OECD norm. However, as the chapters make clear, there remains significant divergence, both in countries' interpretation of the arm's-length standard (e.g., the transactions it applies to, the pricing methods preferred and whether secondary adjustments are imposed) and in the administration of the rules (e.g., the documentation requirements imposed, and the availability of APAs). Transfer pricing practitioners, therefore, cannot simply assume that the OECD Guidelines contain all the answers but must in fact engage with their detailed application within each country.

As we have said in earlier editions of the *Review*, transfer pricing rules will be high on the corporate tax agenda for many years to come, and they are continuing to evolve at a rapid pace. Over the next year or so, we expect the following to be among the main areas of focus.

First, as in so many other areas of endeavour, the covid-19 pandemic raises new challenges for transfer pricing, and may in some cases invert the 'normal' argument between taxpayers and tax authorities. For example, will tax authorities which have previously argued that a company is not a routine service provider, and should be rewarded through a profit split, now accept that the company therefore needs to bear a share of the group's covid-19 losses? Looking further forward, the experience from the 2008 financial crisis suggests that, in the medium term, the need for tax revenues is likely to push tax authorities towards a more assertive approach in transfer pricing cases.

Second, a number of countries may see disputes over the extent to which transfer pricing can be used to recharacterise transactions, rather than merely to adjust the pricing of transactions. For example, the German courts held last year that transfer pricing rules are not limited to pricing adjustments alone; and Ireland introduced rules that enable the Irish Revenue to impose a 'substance over form' principle.

Third, the long-awaited OECD Transfer Pricing Guidance on Financial Transactions was published in February 2020. Although its immediate impact has been rather overshadowed

by the covid-19 situation, many taxpayers, and tax authorities, will need to get to grips with the potential impact of this guidance on them.

Finally, the OECD/G20 project to address the tax consequences of digitalisation continues to work towards its target of presenting an agreed solution by the end of 2020. The current Pillar One and Pillar Two proposals would, if enacted, be the most far-reaching change to transfer pricing principles in close to 100 years, and would mark a significant shift away from the arm's-length principle. The desire to shore up tax revenues in light of covid-19 may well encourage the countries that expect to be 'winners' from the proposals to push for an agreed outcome. It is worth noting, however, that the reforms will not be a silver bullet for public finances. The OECD expects the reform to increase corporate tax revenues by 4 per cent; in the UK, for example, that would raise enough money to fund the National Health Service for only one week.

We would like to thank the authors of all of the country chapters for their comprehensive and illuminating analysis of each country's transfer pricing rules; and the publishing team at Law Business Research for their diligence and enthusiasm in commissioning, coordinating and compiling this *Review*.

Steve Edge and Dominic Robertson

Slaughter and May London June 2020

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

STEVE EDGE

Slaughter and May

Steve Edge, who qualified with Slaughter and May in 1975, acts for clients across the full range of the firm's practice.

Steve advises on the tax aspects of private and public mergers, acquisitions, disposals and joint ventures and on business and transaction structuring (including transfer pricing in all its aspects) more generally. He also advises many banks, insurance companies, hedge funds and others in the financial services sector in a wide range of areas.

In recent years, Steve has been heavily involved in several large-scale interventions under HMRC's high-risk corporates programme and in many in-depth tax investigations of specific domestic or international issues including transfer pricing in particular. He thus has considerable experience of negotiating and dealing with HMRC at all levels.

DOMINIC ROBERTSON

Slaughter and May

Dominic Robertson advises a wide range of clients on all areas of UK corporate tax law. He is co-head of the firm's tax disputes practice.

Dominic's expertise covers structuring and other tax aspects of M&A, joint ventures and other corporate finance transactions; tax enquiries and disputes, including EU tax state aid investigations; and standalone tax advisory work, including group reorganisations, CFCs, transfer pricing and the tax treatment of IP.

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

One Bunhill Row London EC1Y 8YY United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0)20 7600 1200 Fax: +44 (0)20 7090 5000 steve.edge@slaughterandmay.com dominic.robertson@slaughterandmay.com tom.gilliver@slaughterandmay.com

an LBR business

ISBN 978-1-83862-511-5